Adolescents With Major Depression Demonstrate Increased Amygdala Activation

Tony T. Yang, M.D., Ph.D., Alan N. Simmons, Ph.D., Scott C. Matthews, M.D., Susan F. Tapert, Ph.D., Guido K. Frank, M.D., Jeffrey E. Max, M.D., Amanda Bischoff-Grethe, Ph.D., Amy E. Lansing, Ph.D., Gregory Brown, Ph.D., Irina A. Strigo, Ph.D., Jing Wu, H.S., Martin P. Paulus, M.D.

Objective: Functional neuroimaging studies have led to a significantly deeper understanding of the underlying neural correlates and the development of several mature models of depression in adults. In contrast, our current understanding of the underlying neural substrates of adolescent depression is very limited. Although numerous studies have consistently demonstrated a hyperactive amygdala in depressed adults, the few published pediatric studies have reported opposite results in the amygdala. Thus, the main purpose of this study was to further our knowledge of the underlying neural substrates of adolescent depression by examining the bilateral amygdala specifically and the whole brain in depressed adolescents compared to healthy controls. Method: Twelve unmedicated adolescents diagnosed with current major depressive disorder without a comorbid psychiatric disorder and 12 well-matched controls ages 13 to 17 years performed a facial-emotion matching task during functional magnetic resonance imaging at 3 T. Results: Region-of-interest analyses demonstrated: (1) significant bilateral amygdala activation in depressed and healthy adolescents, and (2) significantly greater left amygdala activation in depressed adolescents compared to controls. Whole-brain analysis revealed areas of significantly different brain activity in depressed adolescents compared to controls. Conclusions: These results suggest that (1) depressed adolescents without a comorbid psychiatric disorder exhibit an abnormally hyperactive amygdala compared to healthy controls; (2) models of adult depression might be extended to include depressed adolescents; and (3) neuropsychiatric interventions that have been developed in depressed adults should be further examined in adolescents. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry, 2010;49(1):42–51. Key Words: functional magnetic resonance imaging, amygdala, neuroimaging, anterior cingulate cortex, major depressive disorder

Findings from epidemiologic studies have demonstrated that up to 8.3% of US adolescents have major depressive disorder (MDD) or dysthymia. A series of studies have documented a strong relation between adolescent age and risk for depression; these studies have demonstrated a clear increase in the absolute risk for MDD during the adolescent years. The psychological, social, and physical alterations occurring during adolescence make this interval of life a significant risk for the occurrence of depression.

Functional neuroimaging studies have begun to elucidate the underlying neural correlates of depression in adults. However, few such studies have been done in adolescents to understand the neurobiology of depression. The adult studies have supported the hypothesis that the amygdala is abnormally hyperactive in depressed patients. Four separate positron emission tomographic studies by Drevets et al. have repeatedly shown that amygdala activity is significantly increased in adults with MDD. Similar to the positron emission tomographic findings, several functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies in depressed adults have demonstrated that the amygdala is hyperactive compared to controls. Based upon the results...
of the adult functional neuroimaging studies, several models of adult depression involving the amygdala have been proposed by researchers such as Drevets and Mayberg.

Although adult neural models of depression are quite advanced, our current understanding of the underlying neural substrates of adolescent depression is limited. In contrast to the large and consistent adult functional neuroimaging literature, the few published pediatric fMRI depression studies of the amygdala have reported opposite findings. Although several of the published pediatric fMRI depression studies of the amygdala have reported a hyperactive amygdala in depressed youths compared to controls and in high-risk offspring of parents with MDD, the first published fMRI study and a more recent study in this area have found a decreased amygdala response in youths with MDD.

Due to the sparseness of fMRI studies and our limited knowledge of the neural substrates in adolescent depression, the primary aim of the present study was to help further elucidate our understanding of the underlying neural correlates of adolescent depression. To accomplish this aim, a 3-T fMRI study was conducted to examine functional brain activity using both a region of interest (ROI) focused on the bilateral amygdala and whole-brain analysis approach in a group of unmedicated adolescents with MDD without a comorbid psychiatric disorder compared to a group of well-matched healthy controls. To this end, we used blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) fMRI in combination with a facial-emotion matching paradigm that has been shown to reliably activate the amygdala in healthy adolescents at high-field strengths. Based upon our published fMRI findings in typical adolescents, we hypothesized that our paradigm would activate the bilateral amygdala in the adolescents. Furthermore, based on the relatively large number of functional neuroimaging studies in adults and the few pediatric fMRI studies, we hypothesized a priori that amygdala activation would be greater in the adolescents with MDD compared to the healthy controls.

METHOD

Subjects
Twenty-four depressed and healthy adolescents participated. Twelve adolescents (mean ± SD, 15.9 ± 1.4 years; range, 14.08–17.25 years; five girls, seven boys) with a current DSM-IV diagnosis of MDD were compared to a carefully matched group of 12 typical adolescents (mean ± SD, 15.4 ± 1.7 years; range, 12.75-17.58 years; five girls, seven boys). All subjects were right-handed. Four of the healthy controls have been reported elsewhere.

Healthy adolescents were recruited from all regions of San Diego by the Internet, flyers, and electronic mail. Depressed adolescents were recruited from mental health and primary care clinics in San Diego when they sought treatment.

Healthy adolescents were screened using the computerized Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children 4.0 and the Diagnostic Predictive Scale to screen for the presence of any Axis I diagnoses.

Psychiatric diagnosis of the potentially depressed adolescents was determined through the administration of the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime Version to the adolescent and parent(s) by experienced child and adolescent psychiatrists. Using the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime Version, all adolescents met full criteria for current MDD. None of the adolescents with MDD had a comorbid psychiatric disorder.

All subjects were administered the following items: Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence, Customary Drinking and Drug Use Record, Standard Snellen Eye Chart, Ishihara Color Plates Test (8 plates, 2005 edition), Family Interview for Genetics Studies, Edinburgh Handedness Inventory, Children’s Depression Rating Scale-Revised (CDRS-R), and Children’s Global Assessment Scale (CGAS). The CDRS-R and CGAS were administered by a board-certified child and adolescent psychiatrist. In addition, all participants completed the following self-administered questionnaires: Tanner stage, demographics questionnaire, medical and developmental history form, and Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children.

Male and female adolescents 13 to 17 years old with MDD from all ethnicities were allowed to enter. All depressed adolescents were medication free and symptomatic at the time of their fMRI scan. Exclusionary criteria for the adolescents with MDD included:

1. Having a full IQ score lower than 80 on the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence.
2. Being color blind or having less than 20/40 correctable vision as determined by the Ishihara Color Plates Test and Snellen Eye Chart.
3. Any contraindication to MRI imaging (ferrometallic implants, braces, claustrophobia).
4. Any history of neurologic disorder (e.g., meningitis, migraine, human immunodeficiency virus), head trauma with loss of consciousness longer than 2 minutes, a learning disability, a serious medical health problem, or a complicated or pre-
mature birth before 33 weeks of gestation (exclusionary due to potentially abnormal neurodevelopment).

5. Being pregnant or suspect being pregnant.

6. Any evidence of illicit drug use, misuse of prescription drugs, or more than 2 alcohol drinks per week currently or within the previous month as determined by the Customary Drinking and Drug Use Record.

7. Left-handedness.

8. Prepubertal status (Tanner stage 1 or 2).

9. Inability to fully understand and cooperate with the study procedures.

10. A psychiatric diagnosis other than MDD.

11. Use of medication with CNS effects within the previous 2 weeks before scanning.

12. CDRS-R T score lower than 55.

Typical adolescents were excluded from the study for any of the items listed for the adolescents with MDD and for any of these additional reasons: any current or lifetime DSM-IV Axis I psychiatric disorders as determined by the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children and Diagnostic Predictive Scale; any family history of mood or psychotic disorders in first- or second-degree relatives as assessed by the Family Interview for Genetics Studies; and a CDRS-R T score higher than 54.

The MDD and typical adolescent groups were matched for estimated IQ (Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence), socioeconomic status, pubertal developmental stage (Tanner stage), age, gender, ethnicity, and state anxiety (Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children scores).

This research was approved by the University of California at San Diego and Rady Children’s Hospital institutional review boards. All adolescents gave written assent, and their parents/legal guardians gave written informed consent to participate. All participants received financial compensation for their time.

**Experimental Task**

All participants were trained to perform the facial-emotion matching task before fMRI scanning. During the scan, each participant was shown a modified version of the task by Hariri et al. (Figure 1). For each trial, a target face located at the top of the screen and 2 probe faces located at the bottom of the screen were presented. The participants were asked to match the target face with one of the two probe faces that had the same emotional expression by pressing the left or right button on a Current Designs (Philadelphia, PA) response box. Each block contained six consecutive 5-second trials where the target was a fearful, happy, or angry face. The order of blocks was pseudo-randomized to reduce any order effects and was kept consistent across all subjects. Ten seconds of fixation and 2 seconds of instructions were placed between the blocks. The sensorimotor control task consisted of 5-second trials of tall or wide circles or ovals in a similar configuration to the facial-expression task. Analogous to the facial-expression task, participants were told to match the shape of the target to one of the two probes in the control task. The task had a total of 72 trials (18 trials per condition; three face conditions, and one shape condition) and was 512 seconds in duration. Additional details of this task have been
published elsewhere. The percentages of correct and incorrect button presses and reaction times for the correct and incorrect responses were computed for all subjects.

Image Acquisition
Images were acquired on a 3-T GE scanner (General Electric, Milwaukee, WI) with Twin Speed gradients using the GE 8-channel head coil. Each session consisted of a 3-plane scout scan (10 seconds), a high-resolution anatomical scan, a series of T2*-weighted echoplanar imaging scans to measure the BOLD response, and echoplanar imaging-based field maps to correct for susceptibility-induced geometric distortions. Functional scans covering the entire brain were acquired parallel to the anterior and posterior commissure (T2*-weighted echoplanar imaging; repetition time, 2,000 ms; echo time, 32 ms; 64 × 64 matrix; 30 2.6-mm oblique slices with a 1.4-mm gap; 256 repetitions). During the same experimental session, a T1-weighted image with an inversion time of 450 ms to null the CSF (fast spoiled gradient echo sequence; repetition time, 8.0 ms; echo time, 3.1 ms; flip angle, 12°; field of view, 22 cm; matrix, 256 × 256; 0.98- × 0.98- × 1.0-mm³ voxels) was collected in the sagittal plane for anatomical reference. These sequences were optimized for the amygdala.

Statistical Analysis of Imaging Data
All functional and structural image processing and analyses were conducted with the Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI) software. Additional details of the following neuroimaging analysis pathway have been published elsewhere. To minimize motion artifact, an AFNI three-dimensional coregistration algorithm (3dvolreg) was used to realign all echoplanar images. Data were time corrected for slice acquisition order, and the time series data for each individual were analyzed using a multiple regression model (3dDeconvolve). Three motion parameters (roll, pitch, yaw) were used as nuisance regressors to account for motion artifacts. The angry, fearful, happy, and shape (circle/oval) sensorimotor conditions served as the four orthogonal regressors of interest. A modified y variate function was convolved with these four regressors to account for the dispersion brain response and delay of the BOLD-fMRI signal due to hemodynamics. Two additional regressors modeled residual motion in the baseline and linear trends. The AFNI program, 3dDeconvolve, determined the estimated voxel-wise response amplitude. To account for individual variations in the anatomical landmarks, a Gaussian filter with a full-width half-maximum of 4 mm was applied to the voxel-wise percent signal change data.

After smoothing, imaging data for each subject were normalized to stereotaxic Talairach coordinates. Task effect was calculated by performing a paired t test for the all-faces (fearful + angry + happy faces) matching minus all-shapes (circles + ovals) matching contrast in the combined MDD and healthy adolescents. The group effect was calculated by performing a two-sample t test between the depressed and control groups for the all-faces matching minus all-shapes matching contrast. Using the identical anatomical masks that we published in our prior study of adults with MDD, an a priori ROI analysis was performed on the bilateral extended amygdala. For these ROIs, it was found through Monte-Carlo simulations using the AFNI program AlphaSim that a voxel-wise a priori probability of .05 would result in a corrected cluster-wise activation probability of .05 if a minimum volume of 192 µL and three connected voxels was used for the amygdala. The corrected voxel-wise probability in the ROI corresponded to p = .0027. The ROI masks were superimposed on each adolescent’s voxel-wise percent signal change brain image. Activation clusters located inside these ROIs that met the voxel and volume connection criteria were then extracted in the MDD and healthy adolescents for the all-faces versus all-shapes condition. Each condition (fearful versus shapes, angry versus shapes, happy versus shapes) was extracted from this cluster of activation that was defined for the all-faces versus all-shapes condition and used for further analyses.

For the whole-brain analysis, the AFNI program 3dttest was used to examine differences in brain activation between the depressed and healthy adolescents for the all-faces minus all-shapes contrast. A threshold/cluster method was then applied. This threshold adjustment method was based on Monte-Carlo simulations and was used to guard against identifying false-positive areas of activation using a 4-mm full-width half-maximum Gaussian filter. On the basis of these simulations, it was determined that a minimum volume of 704 µL of adjacent voxels was necessary to ensure a corrected cluster-wise activation probability of .05 for the whole-brain analysis.

All statistical analyses of behavioral and clinical scales data were carried out with SPSS 14.0. Correlation analyses of the task-related activation in the left amygdala with the CDRS-R and CGAS scores were conducted for both groups combined (MDD plus controls) and the adolescents with MDD alone.

RESULTS
Sociodemographic and Clinical
The MDD and healthy adolescents did not significantly differ in estimated IQ (t22 = −0.81, p = .43), socioeconomic status (χ²6 |n = 24| = 7.17, p = .31), pubertal developmental stage (Tanner stage, χ²6 |n = 24| = 6.60, p = .37), age (t22 = 0.88, p = .39), gender (χ²1 |n = 24| = 0.00, p = 1.00),...
ethnicity ($\chi^2 [n = 24] = 0.00, p = 1.00$), and anxiety (Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children scores, $t_{22} = 1.42, p = .17$). The adolescents with MDD had a mean CDRS-R T score of 76 (SD, 9), signifying a depressive disorder is very likely to be confirmed and further evaluation should be pursued. In contrast, the healthy adolescents had a mean CDRS-R T score of 32 (SD, 4), signifying that a depressive disorder is very unlikely to be confirmed.

**Behavioral Data**

Depressed (MDD) and healthy control adolescents responded to the task with similar reaction times for faces (MDD: mean reaction time = 1.74 seconds, SD = 0.40; control: mean reaction time = 1.57 seconds, SD = 0.30; $t_{22} = 1.20, p = .24$) and shapes (MDD: mean = 1.24 seconds, SD = 0.23; control: mean = 1.12 seconds, SD = 0.26; $t_{22} = 1.18, p = .25$). The groups also did not significantly differ on performance as indexed by percent correct selection for faces (MDD: mean = 95%, SD = 0.09; control: mean = 96%, SD = 0.04; $t_{22} = -0.57, p = .58$) or shapes (MDD: mean = 94%, SD = 0.07; control: mean = 95%, SD = 0.07; $t_{22} = -0.56, p = .58$).

**Functional Neuroimaging**

**Task Effect.** Compared to the shape (circle/oval) sensorimotor condition, fearful, angry, happy, and all faces (fearful + angry + happy) were associated with significantly increased bilateral amygdala activation in the MDD and healthy adolescents (Figure 2). The bar graphs adjacent to the coronal images in Figure 2 show that, for each of the examined contrast conditions, a positive BOLD percent signal change was observed in the left and right amygdala.

**Depressed Versus Healthy Adolescents**

A voxel-based two-sample $t$ test was performed comparing the depressed to the healthy adoles-
cents for the all-faces matching minus all-shapes matching contrast. A significant cluster of activation survived the ROI analysis in the left amygdala ($x = -22.0, y = -9.0, z = -16.0; \text{cluster volume} = 192 \mu\text{L}; t_{22} = 2.95$). In contrast, no significant differences between the two groups were observed in the right amygdala (Figure 3).

A repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on the percent signal change in the left amygdala with task condition (fearful, angry, happy) as a within-subject factor and group (MDD, controls) as a between-subjects factor. A significant group effect was found ($F_{1,22} = 8.68, p = .007$). No significant group-by-condition effect was observed ($F_{1,22} = 0.37, p = .55$).

Whole-Brain Analyses
The whole-brain analyses revealed several brain regions that were significantly different in activation between the MDD and healthy adolescents for the all-faces versus shapes contrast. Compared to controls, adolescents with MDD showed greater activation in the left parahippocampal gyrus (Brodmann Area (BA) 35; $x = -26, y = -46, z = 6; \text{cluster volume} = 2,048 \mu\text{L}; t_{22} = 4.42$), left anterior cingulate (BA 24/32; $x = -17, y = 13, z = 23; \text{cluster volume} = 1,728 \mu\text{L}; t_{22} = 3.80$), left cingulate gyrus (BA 31; $x = -23, y = -38, z = 33; \text{cluster volume} = 1,344 \mu\text{L}; t_{22} = 4.21$), right anterior cingulate (BA 24/32; $x = 16, y = 28, z = 17; \text{cluster volume} = 832 \mu\text{L}; t_{22} = 3.71$), left cingulate gyrus (BA 24/32; $x = -11, y = 5, z = 37; \text{cluster volume} = 704 \mu\text{L}; t_{22} = 3.78$). Compared to controls, adolescents with MDD demonstrated less activation in the bilateral cuneus (BA 7; $x = -1, y = -71, z = 32; \text{cluster volume} = 768 \mu\text{L}; t_{22} = 3.83$). All $x$, $y$, and $z$ values are Talairach coordinates and represent the center of the observed cluster. The whole-brain findings of greater left and right anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) activation in the adolescents with MDD compared to healthy controls were confirmed in each of the face-emotion types. A repeated-measures ANOVA was performed on the percent signal change in the left ACC with task condition (fearful, angry, happy) as a within-subject factor and group (MDD, controls) as a between-subjects factor. A significant group effect was observed ($F_{1,22} = 14.41, p = .001$). No significant group-by-condition effect was found ($F_{1,22} = 0.912, p = .35$). A similar analysis was done on the right ACC. A significant group effect was seen ($F_{1,22} = 13.73, p = .001$), and no significant group-by-condition effect was discovered ($F_{1,22} = 0.16, p = .69$).

Brain-Behavior Correlation Analyses
Increased left amygdala activation was significantly correlated with higher depression scores on the CDRS-R for the all-faces versus shapes (Spearman $\rho = 0.48, p = .018$, two-tailed) and fearful versus shapes (Spearman $\rho = 0.46, p = .023$, two-tailed) conditions in the combined MDD and healthy adolescents. A trend was observed between left amygdala activation and CGAS scores (Spearman $\rho = -0.38, p = .077$, two-tailed).
two-tailed) in the fearful versus shapes condition for the combined groups. Potentially due to homogeneity of the MDD group and small sample ($n = 12$), no significant associations were found between left amygdala activation and CDRS-R or CGAS scores in the adolescents with MDD alone ($p > .1$).

Comparison of Adolescent and Adult MDD

Using the adolescent data from the present study and adult data from our prior study,$^7$ two repeated-measures ANOVAs (left and right amygdala) were performed to determine whether there were any age (adult versus adolescent) by depression (MDD versus HC) by condition (fearful, angry, happy) interactions. Data for the largest task-related activation in each amygdala determined from each cohort separately (to decrease biasing toward one sample) were extracted. There were no significant differences in the response profiles of depression in the two groups when the age-by-group-by-condition interaction was examined in the left ($F_{2,50} = 0.755, p = .475$) and right ($F_{2,50} = 0.470, p = .628$) amygdala. The results of the repeated-measures ANOVA where the current dataset is compared to a prior cohort of depressed adults scanned when performing the same task indicate that depression affects the response pattern to an emotional-face decision-making task in a similar way in adolescent and adult samples.

DISCUSSION

The present study is the first to use a facial-emotion matching task at high-field strengths to examine differences in functional brain activity between depressed adolescents without a comorbid psychiatric disorder and a group of well-matched healthy controls. This study yielded three main results. First, the ROI analysis showed that the facial-emotion matching paradigm activated the bilateral amygdala in the MDD and healthy adolescents. Second, the ROI analysis revealed that the left amygdala was significantly more active for the depressed adolescents without a concomitant psychiatric disorder compared to the matched controls. Third, the whole-brain analysis demonstrated that the ACC was significantly more active for the adolescents with MDD compared to controls.

The first result confirmed our original hypothesis and is consistent with published fMRI studies using the same facial-emotion matching paradigm and fMRI scanning parameters in adult$^7$ and healthy adolescent$^{16}$ populations.

The second result also confirmed our original a priori hypothesis that amygdala activation would be greater in the adolescents with MDD compared to controls. This finding is consistent with adult positron emission tomographic$^5$ and fMRI studies.$^6,7,39,40$ This result is also consistent with the majority of fMRI pediatric depression studies.$^{10-14}$ However, in contrast to the finding of amygdala hyperactivity in several pediatric MDD studies including the present one, the first published study by Thomas et al.$^{15}$ reported a reduction in the fMRI BOLD signal in the left amygdala.

There are several possible reasons for this difference in amygdala activation findings (i.e., hyperactivation versus hypoactivation). First, the studies varied in total sample size and gender composition. The first published fMRI study of pediatric MDD by Thomas et al.$^{15}$ examined five girls and no boys, whereas all of the subsequent studies examined larger samples and included both genders.$^{10-14}$ Second, Thomas et al.$^{15}$ suggested that their findings might “reflect primarily increased baseline activation.”$^{15}$ In their study, Thomas et al.$^{15}$ compared fearful faces to fixation. None of the other studies,$^{10-14}$ including the present one, used fixation as the baseline comparison condition. Furthermore, as shown by Canli et al.$^{41,42}$ the control condition (neutral baseline) can itself produce changes in activation as a function of the serotonin (5-HT) transporter (5-HTT) genotype. Using a fixation baseline condition, Canli et al.$^{41,42}$ demonstrated that variation in the 5-HTT gene in adults is associated with differential activation to neutral, negative, and positive stimuli in the amygdala. Consistent with these adult findings, Lau et al.$^{12}$ similarly reported differential amygdala activation to fearful faces depending on the adolescents’ 5-HTT genotype. Because the study by Lau et al.$^{12}$ is the only pediatric MDD fMRI study to report on the subjects’ 5-HTT genotypes, it is unknown to what extent variations in the youths’ 5-HTT genotypes might have contributed to the differential findings of amygdala activation. Third, the studies varied in whether attention was constrained or unconstrained (passive viewing) during task performance. In the study by Thomas et al.$^{15}$ the subjects passively viewed the stimuli. In the present study, the participants were required to...
perform a facial-emotion matching task. The study by Beesdo et al.\textsuperscript{10} may provide significant insight because they examined amygdala activation under constrained and unconstrained conditions. They reported that the MDD and anxious adolescents demonstrated similar signs of amygdala hyperactivation to fearful faces when subjectively experienced fear was rated. However, of particular importance, the investigators also found that under the passive viewing condition, the adolescents with MDD (with or without a comorbid anxiety disorder) showed hypoactivation in the left amygdala compared to the healthy controls. Taken together, these results and the findings of the present study are consistent with the idea that differences in amygdala activation might be due to the differences in attentional condition under which the task is performed.

Overall, the adolescent MDD fMRI studies performed to date are generally consistent in their findings of a hyperactive amygdala. Taken together, the present study’s results and the previously published adolescent fMRI findings\textsuperscript{10,12-14} suggest that this observation of a hyperactive amygdala is consistent across several domains. First, we found that the amygdala is hyperactive in the MDD compared to healthy adolescents for both stimulus classes (positive and negative). Consistent with our finding, Lau et al.\textsuperscript{12} reported that genotypic differences in amygdala response in depressed and anxious adolescents were seen in fearful and (to a weaker extent) happy faces. Second, a hyperactive amygdala is observed in adolescents with MDD,\textsuperscript{10,12,13} anxious adolescents,\textsuperscript{10} and adolescents at high risk for MDD (offspring of parents with MDD).\textsuperscript{14}

Third, we found a hyperactive amygdala using a pseudorandom block design that involved a single-attention condition. Other studies have reported similar results using an event-related fMRI design involving several different attention conditions including a passive viewing condition.\textsuperscript{10,12-14} It should again be emphasized, however, that as shown by Beesdo et al.,\textsuperscript{10} the finding of a hyperactive or hypoactive amygdala seems to be dependent on the attentional condition during which the task is performed.

The present study examined a group of adolescents with MDD without a comorbid psychiatric disorder compared to a group of carefully matched controls. Our findings are consistent with the results of Beesdo et al.\textsuperscript{10} In their study, the investigators reported greater amygdala activation in the anxiety and MDD (with and without anxiety) adolescent groups compared to controls in the a priori-defined fearful-afraid versus fearful-passive contrast. Functional MRI studies have found a hyperactive amygdala in adolescents with an anxiety disorder.\textsuperscript{13,43,44} In an fMRI study of adolescents with generalized anxiety disorder, McClure et al.\textsuperscript{43} found greater amygdala activation to fearful faces while the subjects attended to their own subjective level of fear. Taken together, our results and the findings of these other fMRI studies suggest that adolescents with only an anxiety disorder or only MDD or both disorders appear to have a hyperactive amygdala compared to healthy controls. It is important to note, however, that the findings by Beesdo et al.\textsuperscript{10} show that amygdala activity in adolescents with anxiety or MDD appear to be both similar and different depending on the attentional condition under which the fMRI task is performed.

Our finding of significant differences in left amygdala activation between the MDD and healthy adolescents is consistent with the results of Thomas et al.,\textsuperscript{15} Roberson-Nay et al.,\textsuperscript{13} and Beesdo et al.\textsuperscript{10} However, Lau et al.\textsuperscript{12} found differences in the right amygdala, and Monk et al.\textsuperscript{14} and Forbes et al.\textsuperscript{11} found differences in the bilateral amygdala. Hence, although the published adolescent MDD studies suggest that differences in amygdala activation are often observed in the left side, they also demonstrate that the results are mixed with regard to laterality. Although the task of Hariri et al.\textsuperscript{45} tends to elicit greater right than left amygdala reactivity in adults, a large meta-analysis done on the adult fMRI studies to examine the question of laterality effect on emotional-faces processing found no support for the hypothesis of overall right lateralization of emotional processing.\textsuperscript{46} Therefore, because the number of published adolescent amygdala fMRI studies is far fewer than in adults and no similar meta-analysis of the adolescent findings has been performed, we suggest that it is premature to draw any conclusions regarding the laterality of our left amygdala result.

Although the focus of our study was the amygdala and not the ACC, we found greater ACC activity in the adolescents with MDD. To our knowledge, this study is the first one to report greater ACC activity in adolescents with MDD without a comorbid psychiatric disorder compared to a group of well-matched controls.
using a facial-emotion matching paradigm. Our results are consistent with the only other published adolescent depression fMRI study of the ACC.\(^47\) Of note, however, most models of adult depression have emphasized the importance of function in the subgenual cingulate (BA 25), which did not differ between groups in the present study. Future studies should use the same fMRI task and scanning parameters to determine how adolescents with MDD and adults with MDD are similar or different in their activation of the ACC.

The results from the present study have several important clinical implications. In a model describing the putative roles of the amygdala in organizing multiple aspects of emotional and stress responses, Drevets\(^8\) detailed how abnormally increased amygdala activity might explain several of the clinical symptoms seen in adults with MDD. In another model, Mayberg\(^9\) proposed that adult depression is a result of a “network dysfunction.” Among the key brain areas included in Mayberg’s network are the amygdala and ACC. The results of the present study showing greater amygdala and ACC activation in adolescents with MDD compared to controls suggest that perhaps Drevets’ and Mayberg’s network dysfunction models of adult depression might be extended to apply to depressed adolescents. Because our results are consistent with the models of adult depression, they support the further exploration and possible therapeutic applications of neuropsychiatric interventions that have been developed based on the functional neuroimaging research in adults. However, because the potential consequences of how the interventions designed for adults might affect the maturing adolescent brain is unknown, future explorations and studies of these and other potential treatments in depressed adolescents must be pursued with great care and caution.

The present study’s results are tempered by several limitations. First, our study used an emotion-matching task that is not directly relevant to MDD theoretically and empirically. Unlike several prior adolescent MDD studies,\(^10,12-14\) we did not examine successful versus unsuccessful face encoding. We also did not find a behavioral group effect. We used a predictable, non-jittered, block design that reduced behavioral variance. This design may have resulted in our finding of no significant group behavioral effects. However, the absence of behavioral differences between the two groups is also advantageous because it removes any performance confound. In addition, this design was selected because of greater statistical power and an enduring history of this task being used in adults\(^32,33\) and adolescents.\(^16\) Second, another limitation of our task design was that adolescents were engaged for a significant amount of time in passive viewing of the stimuli after they had made their behavioral response. Because it is unknown what mental processes the adolescents were engaged in during passive viewing, our results must be moderated by this reality. Third, in contrast to our findings using an ROI approach, significant amygdala activity did not emerge from our whole-brain analyses. Although there is good scientific justification for using an ROI approach to examine the amygdala, our results suggest that there still exists room for future studies to develop improved fMRI tasks and pulse sequences to examine the adolescent amygdala.

In summary, our findings contribute to the field of adolescent depression by demonstrating that adolescents with MDD without a concomitant psychiatric disorder have greater left amygdala and bilateral ACC activity compared to a group of well-matched healthy controls. These results suggest that models of adult depression might be extended to include adolescents, and that therapeutic interventions developed based on the adult models of depression should be further examined as potential treatments for adolescents with depression. &
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